Lecture-XI Programme: M. Sc. Physics





Dr. Arvind Kumar Sharma (Assistant Professor) Department of Physics, Mahatma Gandhi Central University, Motihari: 845401, Bihar



- Liénard Wiechert Fields due to point charge
- Numerical Problems based Liénard Wiechert Fields

The Fields of a Moving Point Charge

In this lecture we will study about Liénard-Wiechert fields due to a moving point charge.

✤ To find the expressions for the fields (the electric and magnetic fields) of a point charge in arbitrary motion, we will use expressions of the Liénard-Wiechert potentials from the previous lecture X:

$$V(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qc}{(\imath c - \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{v})},$$

.____ [1]

and

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c^2} V(\mathbf{r},t),$$

----- [2]

As we know that relations for E and B:

The differentiation is complicated, however, as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{w}(t_r)$$
 and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{w}}(t_r)$ ------ [4]

are both determined at the retarded time, and t_r described implicitly by the equation

$$|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{w}(t_r)| = c(t - t_r)$$
[5]

is a function of r and t.

***** Let's start with the gradient of *V*:

$$\nabla V = \frac{qc}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{-1}{(\imath c - \imath \cdot \mathbf{v})^2} \nabla(\imath c - \imath \cdot \mathbf{v}).$$
 [6]

Since $r = c(t - t_r)$,

$$\nabla \mathbf{z} = -c \nabla t_r$$
 [7]

[8]

As for the second term, product rule provides

$$\nabla(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{r})$$

Calculating these terms one at a moment:

$$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = \left(v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{v}(t_r)$$

= $v_x \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt_r} \frac{\partial t_r}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt_r} \frac{\partial t_r}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt_r} \frac{\partial t_r}{\partial z}$
= $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla t_r),$ [9]

Where $\mathbf{a} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{v}}$ is the *acceleration* of the particle at the retarded time. Now

$$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{z} = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{r} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}$$
 [10]

and

$$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{r} = \left(v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) (x \,\mathbf{\hat{x}} + y \,\mathbf{\hat{y}} + z \,\mathbf{\hat{z}})$$
$$= v_x \,\mathbf{\hat{x}} + v_y \,\mathbf{\hat{y}} + v_z \,\mathbf{\hat{z}} = \mathbf{v},$$



while

$$(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{r}})$$

(similar reasoning as Eq. 9). Moving on to the third term in Eq. 8,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{\hat{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial x}\right) \mathbf{\hat{y}} + \left(\frac{\partial v_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y}\right) \mathbf{\hat{z}}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{dv_z}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial y} - \frac{dv_y}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial z}\right)\mathbf{\hat{x}} + \left(\frac{dv_x}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial z} - \frac{dv_z}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial x}\right)\mathbf{\hat{y}} + \left(\frac{dv_y}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial x} - \frac{dv_x}{dt_r}\frac{\partial t_r}{\partial y}\right)\mathbf{\hat{z}}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{-} \mathbf{a} \times \nabla t_r$$
 [12]

Finally,

although $\nabla \times r = 0$, whilst, by the similar argument as Eq. 12,

$$\nabla \times w = -v \times \nabla t_r$$

Substituting all this back into Eq. 8, and utilizing the "BAC-CAB" rule to short the triple cross products,

$$\nabla(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla t_r) + \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla t_r) - \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{a} \times \nabla t_r) + \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \nabla t_r)$$

$$\nabla (\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{v}^2) \nabla \mathbf{t}_r$$

----- [15]

assembling Eqs. 7 and 15, we have

$$\nabla V = \frac{qc}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{(\iota c - \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2} \left[\mathbf{v} + (c^2 - v^2 + \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \nabla t_r \right].$$
 [16]

◆ To finalize this formulation, we require to know ∇t_r . This can be established by taking the gradient from equation (Eq. 5) which we have

already done in Eq. 7—and expanding $\nabla \epsilon$:

$$-c\nabla t_r = \nabla r = \nabla \sqrt{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}\nabla(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r})$$

$$-c \nabla t_r = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{r}) \right].$$

[17]

But

$$(\mathbf{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{\tau} - \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{\tau} \cdot \nabla t_r)$$

----- [18]

(similar thought as Eq. 10), while (from Eqs. 13 and 14)

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau} = (\boldsymbol{v} \times \nabla t_r)$$
 [19]

Thus

$$-c \nabla t_r = 1/\mathbf{z}[\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla tr) + \mathbf{z} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \nabla t_r)] = 1/\mathbf{z} [\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \nabla t_r]$$

and thus

$$\nabla t_r = \frac{-\boldsymbol{r}}{\boldsymbol{r}\boldsymbol{c} - \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}}.$$
 [21]

Incorporating this result into Eq. 16, we finish that

$$\nabla V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qc}{(\imath c - \imath \cdot \mathbf{v})^3} \left[(\imath c - \imath \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{v} - (c^2 - v^2 + \imath \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{r} \right].$$
 [22]

A similar calculation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qc}{(vc - \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})^3} \left[(vc - \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v})(-\mathbf{v} + v\mathbf{a}/c) + \frac{v}{c}(c^2 - v^2 + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} \right].$$

$$(23)$$

merging these outcomes, and setting up the vector

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv c\,\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \mathbf{v},$$

We get

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{\imath}{(\mathbf{r}\cdot\mathbf{u})^3} \left[(c^2 - v^2)\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{r} \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{a}) \right].$$
 ------ [24]

Meanwhile

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{c^2} \nabla \times (V \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{c^2} \left[V (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla V) \right].$$
 [25]

***** We have already determined $\nabla \times v$ (Eq. 12) and ∇V (Eq. 22). Substituting these jointly,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{r})^3} \mathbf{r} \times \left[(c^2 - v^2)\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{u})\mathbf{a} \right].$$
[26]

* The quantity in brackets is noticeably similar to to the one in Eq. 24, which can be marked, using the BAC-CAB rule, as $[(c^2 - v^2)u + (z \cdot a)u - (z \cdot u)a];$

♦ The key difference is that we have v's in its place of u's in the initial two terms. In reality, as it is all crossed into ϵ anyway, we can with impunity alter these v's into -u's; the additional term proportional to ϵ vanishes in the cross product. It follows that

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{c}\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t).$$

п

✤ It is clear that the magnetic field of a point charge is always perpendicular to the electric field, and to the vector from the retarded point.

***** The first term in equation (24), E (the one connecting $(c^2 - v^2)$ u falls

off as the *inverse square* of the distance from the particle.

✤ If the velocity and acceleration are both zero, then the equation

(24) turns into the old electrostatic result

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{i}}.$$

----- [28]

✤ For this basis, the first term in E is sometimes known as the well familiar <u>Coulomb field</u>. (since it does not depend on the acceleration, it is also called the velocity field.)

The second term (the one linking $z \times (u \times a)$) falls off as the inverse first power of z and is thus leading at large distances. This term is responsible for <u>electromagnetic radiation</u>; hence, it is known as the <u>radiation field</u>—or, since it is proportional to a, the acceleration field.

- 1. Calculate the electric and magnetic fields of a point charge moving with constant velocity.
- 2. Suppose a point charge q is constrained to move along the x axis.

Show that the fields at points on the axis to the right of the charge are given

by.
$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{c+v}{c-v}\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}.$$

(Do not assume v is constant!) What are the fields on the axis to the *left* of the charge?

3. For a point charge moving at constant velocity, calculate the flux integral $\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$ (using Eq. 10.75), over the surface of a sphere centered at the present location of the charge.

References:

- 1. Introduction to Electrodynamics, David J. Griffiths
- 2. Elements of Electromagnetics, 2nd edition by M N O Sadiku
- 3. Engineering Electromagnetics by W H Hayt and J A Buck.
- 4. Elements of Electromagnetic Theory & Electrodynamics, Satya Prakash

- For any query/ problem contact me on whatsapp group or mail on me
 E-mail: <u>arvindkumar@mgcub.ac.in</u>
- Next *** we will discuss electric dipole radiation and numerical problems based on radiation topic.

